THE MASSACHUSETTS HEALTH REFORM SURVEY: METHODOLOGY REPORT FOR 2006 TO 2012

MARCH 2014

David Dutwin Susan Sherr Social Science Research Solutions

> Sharon K. Long Tim Triplett *Urban Institute*





Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

OVERVIEW OF THE MASSACHUSETTS HEALTH REFORM SURVEY

In April 2006, Massachusetts passed a comprehensive health care reform bill entitled An Act Providing Access To Affordable, Quality, Accountable Health Care (Chapter 58 of the Acts of 2006), which sought to move the state to near universal coverage. In order to track the impacts of Chapter 58, the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts Foundation began funding an annual telephone survey of nonelderly adults in the Commonwealth in fall 2006, just prior to the implementation of key elements of the law. That survey, called the Massachusetts Health Reform Survey (MHRS), has been fielded in the fall of 2006-2010 and 2012. The survey is funded by the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts Foundation, with support for selected years from the Commonwealth Fund (2006-2008) and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (2006-2008, 2012). The MHRS is fielded by Social Science Research Solutions (SSRS, formerly International Communications Research) in conjunction with the Urban Institute.

Public use files for the MHRS, along with copies of the survey instruments, are available through the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (http://www.icpsr.umich .edu/icpsrweb/landing.jsp).

Sample design. The MHRS sample was designed by Marketing Systems Group (MSG), using the GENESYS IDplus and CSS procedures to eliminate nonworking and business landline numbers from the sample.

Survey samples. The MHRS is conducted with a random sample of working-age adults in Massachusetts in each year. In the initial years of the survey (2006–2009), "working-age" was defined as ages 18 to 64; in 2010 the definition was changed to ages 19 to 64 to establish consistency with the definition used by the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy, now the Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA).

The 2006 MHRS was based on a stratified random sample of households with a landline telephone. The survey oversampled low- and moderate-income populations targeted by many of the elements of Massachusetts' health reform initiative. The oversamples included uninsured adults, low-income adults with family income below 300 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL), and moderate-income adults with family income between 300 and 500 percent of the FPL. The same basic design was used in the 2006-2009 rounds of the MHRS. In the 2008 MHRS, additional oversamples were added based on geographic areas and selected minority populations (African-American and Hispanic adults). In the 2010 MHRS, a random sample of cell phones was added to the survey to supplement the landline telephone sample to reduce the coverage issues associated with a landline-only survey. Finally, in the 2012 MHRS, the oversample of uninsured adults was dropped from the survey to reduce survey fielding costs.

The decision to change the survey design in 2010 to include both landline telephones and cell phones reflects the rapid increase in the share of cell phone—only households in Massachusetts and the nation over the last few years. Estimates based on the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) showed a nationwide increase in the percentage of adults in cell phone—only households

from 9.6 percent in January–June 2006 to 27.8 percent in July–December 2010.^{1,2} Estimates for Massachusetts also showed a large gain in the percentage of adults in cell phone–only households, increasing from 7.9 percent in January–December 2007 to 16.8 percent in July 2009–June 2010.³

As shown in Table 1, the sample size for each round of the MHRS has been roughly 3,000 nonelderly adults. The larger sample size in 2008 reflects the additional oversamples by geographic region and for African-American and Hispanic adults in the 2008 MHRS.

YEAR	LANDLINE SAMPLE	CELL PHONE SAMPLE	TOTAL	MARGIN OF ERROR*
2006	3,010	-	3,010	+/- 2.4%
2007	2,938	-	2,938	+/-2.6%
2008	4,046	-	4,046	+/-2.8%
2009	3,165	-	3,165	+/-2.6%
2010	2,418	622	3,040	+/-2.5%
2012	2,411	749	3,160	+/-2.5%

TABLE 1: MHRS SAMPLE SIZES, 2006-2012

*Weighted margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level.

Oversamples in the landline sample. As noted above, the landline sample in the MHRS has included oversamples of uninsured adults (2006-2010), low- and moderate-income adults (all years), and, in 2008, adults in specific geographic areas and in specific minority population groups.

Oversample of uninsured adults. In order to identify uninsured adults for the uninsured oversamples in 2006-2010, the survey included a set of screening questions that asked whether any household members in the appropriate age range (18 to 64 in the pre-2010 years of the survey and 19 to 64 in the 2010 MHRS) were currently covered by any type of health insurance. Based on the responses to those questions, one working-age adult was selected at random from each eligible household to complete the full survey, with an oversample of the adults who were reported to be uninsured. The full survey included more detailed insurance questions to identify specific types of coverage. In the 2012 MHRS, the oversample of uninsured adults was eliminated to reduce the cost of fielding the survey. At that time, the screen-

¹ Blumberg, S.J. and Luke, J.V. Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates Based on Data from the National Health Interview Survey, July–December 2006. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 2007. Available at www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis.htm.

² Blumberg, S.J. and Luke, J.V. Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July– December 2010. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 2011. Available at www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis.htm.

³ The estimates for Massachusetts (and the remaining states) are based on small-area statistical modeling techniques. For a discussion of the methods and the estimates, see Blumberg, S.J., Luke, J.V., Ganesh, N., et al. Wireless Substitution: State-level Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, January 2007–June 2010. National Health Statistics Reports, no 39. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 2011. Available at www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr039.pdf.

ing questions on insurance status were dropped from the survey instrument. The sample sizes of uninsured adults in the MHRS are summarized in Table 2.

YEAR	UNINSURED ADULTS	MARGIN OF ERROR*
2006	703	+/- 5.6%
2007	402	+/-6.7%
2008	448	+/-8.3%
2009	382	+/-8.2%
2010	304	+/-9.3%
2012	130	+/-10.8%

TABLE 2: MHRS SAMPLE SIZES FOR UNINSURED NONELDERLY ADULTS, 2006-2012

*Weighted margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level.

• Oversamples of low- and moderate-income adults. The oversamples of low- and moderateincome adults are based on a geographic sampling strategy whereby local telephone exchanges are divided into three groups to reflect areas with high, moderate, and low concentrations of low- and moderate-income households. Disproportionate shares of the sample were drawn from exchanges in the state with high concentrations of low- and moderate-income households. Table 3 summarizes the sample sizes for low- and moderate-income adults, with low-income defined as those with family income below 300 percent of the FPL and moderate income defined as family income between 300 and 500 percent of the FPL.

YEAR	LOW-INCOME ADULTS	MARGIN OF ERROR*	MODERATE-INCOME Adults	MARGIN OF ERROR*
2006	1,381	+/- 3.6%	702	+/- 5.0%
2007	1,234	+/-4.6%	607	+/-5.1%
2008	2,055	+/- 4.4%	854	+/-5.1%
2009	1,424	+/-4.4%	672	+/-5.3%
2010	1,640	+/-3.5%	641	+/-5.2%
2012	1,320	+/-3.7%	626	+/-5.8%

TABLE 3: MHRS SAMPLE SIZES FOR LOW-INCOME NONELDERLY ADULTS, 2006-2012

*Weighted margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level.

 Oversamples by geographic area and for racial/ethnic minorities in the 2008 MHRS. As noted above, the 2008 MHRS included two additional oversamples. The geographic oversample was obtained by selecting additional survey samples from telephone exchanges that were specific to each of the areas. The African-American and Hispanic oversamples were obtained by selecting additional samples in areas with high concentrations of African-American and Hispanic households. The sample sizes by region and racial/ethnicity groups in 2008 are summarized in Table 4.

OVERSAMPLE	SAMPLE FROM Core Survey	MARGIN OF ERROR: Core Survey*	SAMPLE FROM TARGETED OVERSAMPLES	TOTAL SAMPLE	MARGIN OF ERROR: TOTAL*
Southeast region	763	7.4%		850	7.2%
Central region	475	6.3%	128	643	5.7%
Northeast region	492	6.5%	109	667	6.1%
MetroWest region	298	7.8%	125	499	6.1%
Western region	672	6.4%		745	6.1%
Boston region	380	8.5%	44	642	6.6%
African-American	253	10.1%	305	581	7.1%
Hispanic	304	11.9%	255	581	7.3%

TABLE 4: MHRS SAMPLE SIZES BY REGION AND RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP, 2008

*Weighted margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level.

Survey fielding. The field period for the MHRS is generally October to January. All interviews were conducted using the Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) system. The CATI system ensured that questions followed logical skip patterns and that the listed attributes automatically rotated, eliminating "question position" bias. Extensive checking of the program was conducted to assure that skip patterns and sample splits followed the design of the questionnaire.

The survey was translated into Spanish and Portuguese to increase the survey's coverage by including non-English-speaking respondents in the survey. Of the 3,160 interviews in the 2012 MHRS, 70 were completed in Spanish and 11 in Portuguese.

CATI interviewers received written survey materials and formal training on administering the survey instrument. The written materials were provided prior to the beginning of the field period and included an annotated questionnaire that contained information about the goals of the study as well as detailed explanations of why questions were being asked, the meaning and pronunciation of key terms, potential obstacles to be overcome in getting good answers to questions, and anticipated respondent problems, along with strategies for addressing them.

Prior to the beginning of the field period, pre-test interviews were conducted to ensure that the questionnaire and CATI program were working properly. The 2012 MHRS pre-test demonstrated that the instrument was running long given the addition of new questions (discussed below), and on the basis of this finding, several modifications were made to the questionnaire to shorten the length of the interview. Additional pre-test interviews were conducted to check the modifications to the questionnaire.

Interviewer training was conducted immediately before the survey was officially launched. Call center supervisors and interviewers were walked through each question in the questionnaire.

Interviewers were given instructions to help them maximize response rates and ensure accurate data collection.

During the field period, SSRS placed initial refusers into a separate file for survey processing and withheld any attempt to convert them until a refusal letter was sent (to directory-listed house-holds). Letters were sent to refusers each Monday, with refusal conversion attempts commencing the subsequent Friday. The letter detailed the nature of the study and noted that a \$10 incentive would be offered. In all, 697 interviews were completed with respondents who had initially refused to be interviewed in 2012.

Survey content. In addition to questions on insurance status, the survey includes questions that focus on the individual's access to and use of health care, out-of-pocket health care costs and medical debt, insurance premiums and covered services (for those with insurance), and health and disability status. With few exceptions, the MHRS relies on questions drawn from established, well-validated surveys.⁴ While we sought to maintain consistency with those prior surveys, some questions were modified to ensure that they address the issues of particular concern in Massa-chusetts. In addition, we developed new questions for some issues specific to the context of Massachusetts' reform initiative. The survey questionnaires for each year of the MHRS are included as an appendix to this report.

Over time there have been changes to the content of the survey to add questions on emerging issues and, in order to keep the survey at a reasonable length, to eliminate questions that are deemed to be less useful. Table 5 indicates the questions that have changed (based on question numbers in the questionnaires in the appendix). Key additions in the fall 2012 survey included questions on (1) emergency room use, (2) access to specialist care, (3) factors considered by the individual in choosing health care providers and hospitals, (4) the impact of health care spending on the individual's personal finances, (5) the availability of a choice of health plans, including plans with tiered and limited networks, and (6) experiences with tiered networks.

Like all survey-based research, the MHRS relies on self-reported information. The quality of the data depends on the survey respondent's ability to understand the questions and the response categories, to remember the relevant information, and to report the information accurately. We would expect the quality of the information reported by the respondent to be better for more recent circumstances and events and for events with greater saliency (e.g., current insurance status). Problems with recall are more likely for events that are more distant in time (e.g., number of doctor visits over the past year), while problems with misreporting are more likely for sensitive or embarrassing questions (e.g., problems paying medical bills) or questions that are more difficult to answer (e.g., the amount of out-of-pocket health care spending over the past year).

⁴ These include government-sponsored surveys, such as the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS), and Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS), and special surveys such as the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy's Survey of Health Insurance Status, the Commonwealth Fund's Biennial Health Insurance Survey and Consumerism in Health Care Survey, the Kaiser Family Foundation's Low-income Survey, the Urban Institute's National Survey of America's Families, and the RAND Corporation's Survey of Individual Market Candidates in California, among others.

QUESTION NUMBER	CHANGE	
CELL1	2010: Question added	
CELL2	2010: Question added	
CELL3	2010: Question added	
HHx1	2007: Question deleted	
HHx2	2012: Question deleted	
HH6	2012: Question deleted	
ННЗа	2007: Question added	
НН6а	2012: Question deleted	
HH7	2012: Question deleted	
HH7a	2012: Question deleted	
HH8	2012: Question deleted	
НН8а	2012: Question deleted	
HH8b	2012: Question deleted	
HH9	2012: Question deleted	
HH9a	2007: Question added; 2012: Question modified	
HH9b	2007: Question added; 2012: Question deleted	
HH12	2012: Question deleted	
HH13	2012: Question deleted	
C2	2010: Question modified	
C2a	2007: Question added: 2012: Question modified	
C2a1	2010: Question added; 2012: Question deleted	
C2b	2009: Question added; 2010: Question deleted	
C2c	2009: Question added; 2010: Question deleted	
C3a2	2008: Question added; 2010: Question modified	
C3a3	2012: Question added	
C3b1	2009: Question added; 2010: Question deleted; 2012: Question replaced with new question	
C3b2	2009: Question added; 2010: Question deleted	
C3c2	2010: Question deleted	
C3c2a	2007: Question added; 2009: Question modified; 2010: Question deleted	
C3d	2010: Questions modified	
C3d1	2010: Question added	
C3d2	2010: Question added	
C3f1	2009: Question added; 2010: Question deleted	
C3f2	2009: Question added; 2010: Question deleted	
C3h	2008: Question added	
C3hc	2012: Question deleted	
СЗі	2008: Question added; 2010: Question modified	
СЗј	2010: Question added; 2012: Question deleted	
C3k	2010: Question added; 2012: Question deleted	
C3k2	2012: Question added	
		continued

TABLE 5: SUMMARY OF MHRS QUESTIONNAIRE CHANGES OVER TIME

QUESTION NUMBER	CHANGE
C4	2012: Questions modified
C4a2	2010: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
C5	2010: Question added; 2012: Question modified
C5e	2010: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
C5e1	2010: Question added
C5e2	2010: Question added
C7	2012: Question added
C8	2012: Question added
C9	2012: Question deleted
C10	2012: Question added
C11	2012: Question added
D1a	2010: Question modified
E1	2010: Question modified
E1a	2010: Questions modified
E1ad	2010: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
E1ae	2010: Question added
E1af	2010: Question added; 2012: Question modified
E2a	2009: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
E2b	2010: Question added; 2012: Question modified
E3b	2010: Question added
E3c	2012: Question added
E4a	2010: Question added; 2012: Question replaced with new question
F4	2007: Question added
F4a	2007: Question added
F4b	2007: Question added
F5a1	2007: Question added
F9a	2010: Question added
F9b	2010: Question added
F10b	2008: Question added; 2010: Question replaced with new question
F11d	2010: Question added
F11c	2010: Question replaced with new question
F12	2010: Question added
F10c	2008: Question added; 2010: Question deleted
F11a	2007: Question added
F11b	2007: Question added
F11c	2009: Question added
G3a	2012: Question added
G3b	2012: Question added
G3c	2012: Question added
G6	2007: Question deleted

TABLE 5:	SUMMARY	OF MHRS	QUESTIONNAIRE	CHANGES	OVER	TIME	(continued)

continued

QUESTION NUMBER	CHANGE
G7a	2007: Question deleted
G7b	2007: Question deleted
G11a2	2007: Question added
G12	2009: Question deleted
G12a	2009: Question deleted
G14	2009: Question deleted
G14b1	2009: Question deleted
G16a	2010: Question added
G16b	2010: Question added
G16c	2010: Question added
G18	2010: Question deleted
G18a	2007: Question added; 2010: Question deleted
G18b	2007: Question added; 2010: Question deleted
G20	2012: Question added
G21a	2012: Question added
G21b	2012: Question added
H4	2010: Question deleted
115	2007: Question modified
l15a	2010: Question deleted
l15b	2010: Question deleted
116	2007: Question modified; 2010: Question deleted
117	2010: Question modified
118	2010: Question deleted
l18a	2010: Question deleted
119	2010: Question deleted
l19a	2007: Question added; 2010: Question deleted
l19b	2007: Question added; 2008: Question deleted
l19b1	2008: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
l19b2	2008: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
l19b3	2008: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
l19c	2007: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
l19d	2007: Question added; 2012: Question deleted
l19e	2007: Question added; 2008: Question deleted
120	2007: Question deleted
120a	2007: Question deleted
121	2007: Question deleted
122	2007: Question deleted
123	2007: Question deleted
126b	2010: Question modified
126c	2010: Question modified

TABLE 5: SUMMARY OF MHRS QUESTIONNAIRE CHANGES OVER TIME (col	ntinued)
---	----------

continued

QUESTION NUMBER	CHANGE
I26d	2008: Question added
127	2010: Question deleted
128	2009: Question deleted
l29b	2008: Question added
l29c	2008: Question added
130a	2007: Question added
J1a	2007: Question added
J1b	2007: Question added
J3	2007: Question added
J3a	2007: Question deleted
J3b	2007: Question added
J4a	2012: Question added
J6	2012: Question deleted
K1	2012: Question added
K2	2012: Question added

TABLE 5: SUMMARY OF MHRS QUESTIONNAIRE CHANGES OVER TIME (continued)

Survey response rate. The MHRS employs several strategies to increase the response rate to the survey. First, a \$10 incentive is offered to all who complete the survey. Second, when addresses are available from reverse directory services, letters are sent to households that initially refused to complete the survey and to those households for whom six call attempts are made without an answer. Third, a toll-free number is provided in the letters to allow sample households to call in to complete the survey if they are motivated to do so. Finally, telephone numbers with no answers or voice messages are called at least 12 times, with attempts made at different times and days of the week. The 12 call attempts also include a rest period of at least seven days between the sixth and seventh calls.

Table 6 reports on the final sample dispositions for the 2012 MHRS, with separate tabulations for the landline and cell phone samples.

TABLE 6: SAMPLE DISPOSITIONS FOR 2012 MH	IRS		
	LANDLINE SAMPLE	CELL PHONE SAMPLE	TOTAL
ELIGIBLE, INTERVIEW (CATEGORY 1)			
Complete	2,411	749	3,160
ELIGIBLE, NON-INTERVIEW (CATEGORY 2)			
Refusal	3,287	869	4,156
Physically/mentally incompetent	200	35	235
Language problem	826	186	1,012
UNKNOWN ELIGIBILITY, NON-INTERVIEW (CATEGORY 3	3)		
Always busy	285	234	519
No answer	19,833	4,198	24,031
Answering machine-don't know if household	3,823	2,149	5,972
Call blocking	21	24	45
Technical phone problems	264	8	272
No screener completed	3,657	1,042	4,699
NOT ELIGIBLE (CATEGORY 4)			
Fax/data line	3,468	548	4,016
Nonworking number	15,953	4,649	20,602
Business, government office, other organizations	2,835	472	3,307
No eligible respondent	1,032	1,192	2,224
TOTAL	57,895	16,355	74,250

The overall response rate for the survey in the 2012 MHRS was 33.1 percent, which combines the response rates for the landline telephone sample (37.0 percent) and the cell phone sample (25.7 percent). This calculation is based on the response rate calculation formula (RR3) recommended by the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR). This formula is set to determine the percent of completed interviews out of all eligible cases in the sample. While response rates for cell phone samples are generally lower than those for landline samples, the cell phone sample captures a part of the population (adults in cell phone-only households) that is missed completely in surveys that focus only on the population with a landline telephone.

As with other surveys, the response rates for the landline and cell phone components of the MHRS have dropped over time (Table 7). These response rates are comparable to those achieved in other recent social science and health surveys, as is the decline in the response rate to the survey over time.⁵

Survey response rates have been declining for both government and non-government surveys for more than 20 years, as contacting sample members becomes more difficult and more of the sample members who are contacted refuse to complete surveys. For example, the response rate for a supplement to the Current Population Survey dropped by 15 percentage points between 2008 and 2012, and the response rate for the Commonwealth Fund's Biennial Health Insurance Survey dropped from 29 percent for the landline component and 25 percent for the cell phone component in 2010, to 22 percent and 19 percent, respectively, in 2012. As stated by AAPOR: "[C]onsumers of survey results should treat all response rates with skepticism, since these rates do not necessarily differentiate reliably between accurate and inaccurate data. Instead consumers should pay attention to other indicators of quality...such as insignificant levels of bias, low levels of missing data, and conformity with other research findings." However, because of concerns about the declining response rates across surveys, AAPOR has created a special task force to study the issue of survey refusals, with findings due in late 2013.

	-,		
YEAR	LANDLINE SAMPLE	CELL PHONE SAMPLE	TOTAL
2006	48.9%	-	48.9%
2007	45.2%	-	45.2%
2008	43.8%	-	43.8%
2009	45.5%	-	45.5%
2010	42.4%	30.6%	38.2%
2012	37.0%	25.7%	33.1%

TABLE 7: MHRS RESPONSE RATES, 2006-2012

Sample weights. All tabulations based on the survey data were prepared using weights that adjust for the complex design of the survey, for undercoverage, and for survey nonresponse. Separate weights were constructed for the landline sample and for the combined landline and cell phone samples. The relative weights of the landline and cell phone samples for Massachusetts were determined using National Health Interview Survey estimates of the share of Massachusetts adults in households with landlines and cell phones.⁶

The final weights were constructed from a base weight for each adult that reflects his or her probability of selection for the survey and a post-stratification adjustment to ensure that the characteristics of the overall sample were consistent with the characteristics of the Massachu-

⁵ Davern, M., McAlpine, D., Beebe, T.J., Ziegenfuss, J., Rockwood, T., and Call, K.C. Are Lower Response Rates Hazardous to Your Health Survey? An Analysis of Three State Telephone Health Surveys. Health Services Research, 2010, 45(5, Part 1):1324–44.

⁶ Blumberg, S.J., Luke, J.V., Ganesh, N., et al. Wireless Substitution: State-level Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, 2010-2011. National Health Statistics Reports, no 61. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 2012. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/061.pdf.

setts population as projected by the U.S. Census Bureau.⁷ Specifically, the final weights include an adjustment to ensure that the age, sex, race/ethnicity, education, and geographic distribution of the sample are consistent with the distribution of the population in Massachusetts. This adjustment is needed since some adults are less likely than others to be included in the survey, resulting in their being underrepresented in the sample. The overall design effect for the final weights (after the post-stratification adjustments) was 1.95 in the 2012 MHRS. The MHRS design effect was higher in 2008, which reflects the African-American and Hispanic oversampling that was done only in 2008.

YEAR	DESIGN EFFECT
2006	1.91
2007	1.97
2008	2.38
2009	2.02
2010	1.98
2012	2.04

TABLE 8: MHRS DESIGN EFFECTS, 2006-2012

Variance estimation. Variance estimation procedures have been developed for most standard software packages to account for design effects due to complex survey designs. We provide a replicate stratum (strata) and primary sampling unit (psu) variable on the survey data files that can be used with the appropriate weight variable to obtain corrected standard errors using a Taylor series approximation (or other related linearization method). Users interested in using a linearization method can choose to use SUDAAN, the "SVY" commands in Stata, the "PROC SURVEYMEANS" and "PROC SURVEYREG" commands in SAS, or the "CSELECT" complex samples procedures in the SPSS complex samples module.

Item nonresponse. For the most part, survey respondents answered all the questions in the survey. As a result, there was very little missing data or item nonresponse. An exception to this was the family income measure: between four and six percent of the sample either did not know or would not provide any information on family income, and another three to five percent would only provide information on whether their family income was above or below 300 percent of the FPL. We used hot deck procedures to assign values for the missing income data based on the individual's age, sex, marital status, family type (parent or childless adult), educational attainment, and, where available, income category (above or below 300 percent of the FPL). Because of an

⁷ For a discussion of the derivation of the population control totals generated by the U.S. Census Bureau for the Current Population Survey, see Appendix D (Derivation of Independent Population Controls) of the Current Population Survey Technical Paper 63RV: Design and Methodology [Internet]. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau, 2002. Available at www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/ tp63rv.pdf.

error in the question on family income in 2010, there was a more elaborate adjustment to the income measure in that survey year.⁸

Defining health insurance coverage. Survey respondents were asked a series of "yes/no" questions about whether they had each of the different types of insurance coverage available in the state, including Medicare, employer-sponsored insurance (ESI), and non-group coverage, as well as the range of publicly funded programs.⁹ Respondents were told to exclude health care plans that covered a single type of care (e.g., dental care, prescription drugs). Individuals who received care under the state's uncompensated care program were counted as uninsured.

The primary insurance coverage questions in the MHRS focus on insurance coverage at the time of the survey (i.e., current insurance coverage); however, the survey also asks those who are currently insured whether they were uninsured at any time in the prior year and asks those who are currently uninsured whether they were insured at any time in the prior year. Thus, there are three measures of insurance coverage available from the survey: the individuals' current insurance coverage, whether the individual was ever uninsured over the past year, and whether the individual was ever insured over the past year. Unless otherwise noted, we use "uninsured" in the text to refer to individuals who are uninsured at the time of the survey.

While most people are believed to report accurately whether they have insurance coverage in surveys, there is evidence of some misreporting of coverage type.^{10,11} In Massachusetts, where several coverage options have similar names, respondents in the survey often reported being enrolled in multiple programs (e.g., Commonwealth Care and Commonwealth Choice) or having both direct purchase and public coverage. As this raises concerns about the accuracy of the reporting of coverage type for the various public programs and direct purchase, the analysis of source of coverage is limited to ESI coverage and all other types of insurance. An individual reporting both public coverage and ESI coverage (perhaps because of having coverage through the Insurance Partnership program under MassHealth or wraparound services under MassHealth) would be assigned to ESI coverage. Among lower-income adults the "public and other coverage" category is generally reported to be public coverage, while for higher-income adults, this category is more likely to represent direct purchase or Commonwealth Choice.

⁸ The data error and the adjustment for the data error are described in Long, S.K., Stockley, K., and Dahlen, H. Health Reform in Massachusetts as of Fall 2010: Getting Ready for the Affordable Care Act & Addressing Affordability. Boston, MA: Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts Foundation, 2012. Available at http://bluecrossmafoundation.org/sites/default/files/ MHRS%20Report%20Jan2012.pdf

⁹ One advantage of the MHRS relative to national surveys is the ability to ask detailed questions about the range of insurance options available in Massachusetts. In addition, the survey also asks about other sources of care that are available in the state, such as Indian Health Service and the Health Safety Net/Uncompensated Care/Free Care program. Those types of care are excluded from the MHRS measures of insurance coverage.

¹⁰ Call, K.T., Davidson, G., Sommers, A.S., Feldman, R., Farseth, P., and Rockwood, T. Uncovering the Missing Medicaid Cases and Assessing Their Bias for Estimates of the Uninsured. Inquiry, 2001–2002, 38(4):396–408.

¹¹ Cantor, J.C., Monheit, A.C., Brownlee, S., and Schneider, C. The Adequacy of Household Survey Data for Evaluating the Nongroup Health Insurance Market. Health Services Research, 2007, 42(4):1739–1757.